New Cadinane-Type Sesquiterpenes from the Roots of Taiwania cryptomerioides HAYATA

by Chiou-Fung Chyu^a), Mei-Ru Ke^a), Yuan-Shiun Chang^b), Shih-Chang Chien^a), and Yueh-Hsiung Kuo*^a)^b)^c)^d)

^a) Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan (phone: + 886-233661671; fax: + 886-2-23636359; e-mail: yhkuo@ntu.edu.tw)
^b) College of Pharmacy, China Medical University, Taichung, 404, Taiwan
^c) Research Center of Food and Biomolecules, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan
^d) Agriculture Biotechnology Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 115, Taiwan

Six new cadinane-type sesquiterpenes, $(1\beta,4\beta,5\alpha,10\alpha)$ -1,4-epoxymuurolan-5-ol (1), $(4\alpha,10\beta)$ -4,10dihydroxycadin-1(6)-en-5-one (2), $(2\beta,3\alpha,4\beta,6\beta)$ -2,3-dihydroxycadin-1(10)-en-5-one (3), $(2\beta,3\alpha)$ - α corocalene-2,3-diol (4), (7S)- α -calacoren-14-ol (5), and $(8\beta,9\beta,10\beta)$ -8,9-epoxycalamenene-3,10-diol (6) together with one known compound, $(8\beta,9\beta,10\beta)$ -8,9-epoxycalamenen-10-ol (7), were isolated from the roots of *Taiwania cryptomerioides*. The structures of the new constituents were essentially elucidated by spectral evidence.

Introduction. - Taiwania cryptomerioides (Taxodiaceae), an important building material with high value in Taiwan, is taxonomically included in one genus and one species. It is an endemic plant in Taiwan. Its heartwood contains more than 6% of essential oil [1]. Because of the antifungal and decay-resistant characteristics as well as the beautiful yellowish-red color with distinct purplish-pink streaks of the heartwood, we have investigated this plant already previously, and isolated the chemical components of the heartwood [2-4] and bark [5-9]. α -Cadinol, a major component of T. cryptomerioides, was found in its essential oil, and shows selectivity for humancolon-tumor cell lines [10]. Also, the oil is a potent against wood-decay fungi [11]. In addition, we have isolated lignans and cadinane-type compounds from T. cryptomerioides, which exhibit significant cytotoxicity against three human-tumor cell lines [12]. The interesting compounds and those conferring biological activities isolated from the heartwood and bark of T. cryptomerioides prompted us to study the chemical components of its roots. Several sesquiterpenes with unique and novel structures have already been obtained, *i.e.*, structures of the cadinane type [13], the secoabeoguaiane type [14], seconorabietane type [15], and the secoabeoabietane type [16].

In this paper, we would like to report on the isolation and characterization of six new cadinane-type sesquiterpenes from the roots of *T. cryptomerioides*, *i.e.*, $(1\beta,4\beta,5\alpha,10\alpha)$ -1,4-epoxymuurolan-5-ol (1), $(4\alpha,10\beta)$ -4,10-dihydroxycadin-1(6)-en-5one (2), $(2\beta,3\alpha,4\beta,6\beta)$ -2,3-dihydroxycadin-1(10)-en-5-one (3), $(2\beta,3\alpha)$ - α -corocalene-2,3-diol (4), (7S)- α -calacoren-14-ol (5), and $(8\beta,9\beta,10\beta)$ -8,9-epoxycalamenene-3,10diol (6), together with one known compound, $(8\beta,9\beta,10\beta)$ -8,9-epoxycalamenen-10-ol (7) [17].

© 2007 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Results and Discussion. – Compound **1**, isolated as a colorless gum, showed a molecular ion M^+ peak at m/z 238.1934 for $C_{15}H_{26}O_2^+$, corresponding to three indices of hydrogen deficiency (IHD). The IR spectrum of **1** displayed an absorption for an OH group (3434 cm⁻¹). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (*Table 1*), COSY, HMBC, and NOESY data (*Fig.*) established the structure of **1** as $(1\beta,4\beta,5\alpha,10\alpha)$ -1,4-epoxymuurolan-5-ol¹).

The ¹H-NMR spectrum exhibited signals for an ⁱPr group at δ 0.95 and 0.90 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H each) and 1.81 – 1.91 (m, 1 H), a Me s at δ 1.39, a Me d at δ 1.06, and a OCH signal at δ 3.43 (br. s). The COSY plot of **1** displayed the connectivities H–C(5)/H–C(6)/H–C(7)/CH₂(8)/CH₂(9)/H–C(10)/Me(14), and H–C(5) was also coupled to H_β–C(3) (δ 1.33 – 1.43 (m)) due to a long-range W-coupling. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum exhibited 15 signals for four Me, four CH₂, and four CH groups, and for three oxygenated C-atoms (two C (δ 87.2 and 86.6) and one CH (δ 79.0)). Compound **1** contained two O-atoms but three oxygenated C-atoms, suggesting that **1** is a tricyclic compound with two rings and an epoxy bridge. Compound **1** exhibited the following ¹H,¹³C-HMBC correlations: Me(14)/C(1), C(9), and C(10), Me(15)/C(3), C(4), and C(5), H–C(6)/C(2), C(4), and C(5). On the above evidence, compound **1** was assumed to be an epicubenol (=(1*S*,4*R*,4a*S*,8a*R*)-1,3,4,5,6,8a-hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-1-(1-methylethyl)-naphthalen-4a(2*H*)-ol) derivative with an epoxy group located between C(1) and C(4)¹), and an OH group positioned at C(5)¹). The NOESY correlations (*Fig.*) H–C(5)/H–C(6), H–C(11), Me(12), and Me(15), H–C(10)/H–C(6) and H_β–C(8), H–C(7)/H_a–C(9), and Me(14)/H_β–C(2) and CH₂(9) confirmed that H–C(5) was in the β -quasiaxial orientation, Me(14) in the α -quasiequatorial orientation, H–C(6) in the β -axial orientation, and the ⁱPr–C(7) in the β -quasiequatorial orientation.

The HR-EI-MS revealed compound **2** to be a sesquiterpene with the formula $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$ (M^+ at m/z 252.1726). The IR spectrum suggested that **2** contains an OH group (3423 cm⁻¹) and a conjugated C=O group (1670 cm⁻¹), the latter being confirmed by the UV spectrum (λ_{max} 240.0 and 291.0 nm). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (*Table 1*), HMBC, and NOESY data (*Fig.*) were compatible with the structure of **2** as $(4\alpha, 10\beta)$ -4,10-dihydroxycadin-1(6)-en-5-one¹).

¹⁾ Trivial atom numbering; for systematic names, see *Exper. Part.*



Figure. Key NOESY correlations of compounds $1-6^{1}$)

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **2** showed the presence of an ⁱPr (δ 0.82 and 0.75 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H each) and 1.85 – 1.90 (m, 1 H)) and two Me groups (δ 1.32 and 1.33 (2s), the latter two being attached to a quaternary C-atom bearing an OH group. This suggested that **2** was a cadinane-type sesquiterpene. Fifteen ¹³C-NMR signals appeared for four Me, four CH₂, and two CH groups, and for five quaternary C-atoms (including two oxygenated ones at δ 72.8 and 70.3, two olefinic ones at δ 158.7 and 133.6, and a conjugated C=O at δ 204.0). The ¹³C,¹H-HMBC correlations C=O (δ 204.0)/H–C(3) and Me(15) allowed to position the C=O group at C(5). Considering the skeleton and UV absorption, the location of the C=C bond was assigned between C(1) and C(6). Further evidence came from the following ¹³C,¹H-HMBC correlations: C(1) (δ 158.7)/H–C(3) and Me(14), and C(6) (δ 133.6)/H–C(7) and H–C(8). Analysis of the NOESY data (*Fig.*) revealed the following correlations: H–C(7)/H_a–C(8) and H_a–C(9), Me(14)/CH₂(9) and H_a–C(2), and Me(15)/H_β–C(2) and H_β–C(3). Thus, the ⁱPr group adopted a β -quasiequatorial, the Me(14) a α -quasiequatorial, and the Me(15) a β -quasiaxial orientation.

Based on the HR-EI-MS and ¹³C-NMR data (*Table 1*), compound **3** has the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$ with an IHD of 4. The IR spectrum of **3** displayed peaks for an OH group (3418 cm⁻¹) and a C=O group (1705 cm⁻¹), and the ¹H-NMR (*Table 1*), HMBC, COSY, and NOESY (*Fig.*) data confirmed the structure as $(2\beta_3\alpha_4\beta_6\beta_3)$ -2,3-dihydroxycadin-1(10)-en-5-one¹).

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **3** indicated the presence of an ⁱPr group (δ 0.90 and 0.74 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H each), and 1.70–1.76 (m, 1 H)), a Me group (δ 1.82 (s)) attached to a C=C bond, another Me group (δ 1.20 (d)), and two OCH groups (δ 4.05 and 4.64). The ¹³C-NMR and DEPT showed signals of an ⁱPr group, of two Me groups, of two oxygented sp³ C-atoms (δ 73.8 and 69.7), of a C=O group (δ 212.9), of two olefinic C-atoms (δ 128.3 and 137.0), and of two CH₂ and three CH groups. The 15 C-signals including two Me and an ⁱPr group were in accord with a cadinane derivative. Two sets of contiguous protons

	1		2		3	
	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	δ(H)	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$
C(1)	-	87.2 (s)	-	158.7 (s)	-	128.3 (s)
$CH_2(2)$ or	1.27 - 1.34(m),	32.5 (t)	2.85 (dd,	22.5 (t)	4.64 (d, J = 3.2)	69.7 (d)
H-C(2)	2.04 - 2.10 (m)		J = 17.5, 5.5),			
			2.33 (ddd,			
			J = 17.5, 12.0, 5.5)			
$CH_2(3)$ or $H-C(3)$	1.33 - 1.43 (m),	35.1 (t)	1.89 - 1.98 (m),	36.8 (t)	4.05(t, J = 3.2)	73.2(d)
2., .,	1.50 - 1.60 (m)		2.17 (ddd,			
			J = 13.1, 5.2, 2.0)			
C(4) or $H-C(4)$	-	86.6 (s)	-	72.8(s)	2.68–2.71 (<i>m</i>)	44.0(d)
H-C(5) or $C(5)$	3.43 (br. s)	79.0 (d)	-	204.0(s)	-	212.9 (s)
H-C(6) or $C(6)$	1.49 - 1.55 (m)	55.1 (d)	-	133.6 (s)	3.52 (br. s)	49.7 (d)
H-C(7)	1.36 - 1.40 (m)	43.5 (d)	2.68 - 2.74 (m)	37.3 (d)	1.86 - 1.92 (m)	36.8(d)
CH ₂ (8)	1.33 - 1.43 (m)	20.7(t)	1.55 - 1.62 (m)	19.3 (t)	1.50 - 1.56(m),	20.9(t)
					1.28 - 1.35(m)	
CH ₂ (9)	1.18 - 1.24 (m),	29.3 (t)	1.58 - 1.65 (m),	36.1 (t)	1.94 - 2.00 (m)	31.2(t)
	1.48 - 1.54 (m)		1.81 - 1.88 (m)			
H-C(10) or	2.07 - 2.14(m)	34.9 (d)		70.3 (s)		137.0 (s)
C(10)						
H-C(11)	1.81 - 1.91 (m)	30.1 (d)	1.85 - 1.90 (m)	28.8(d)	1.70 - 1.76(m)	28.2(d)
Me(12)	0.90 (d, J = 7.2)	17.1(q)	0.75 (d, J = 7.0)	18.8(q)	0.74 (d, J = 6.8)	17.2(q)
Me(13)	0.95(d, J = 7.2)	21.0(q)	0.82 (d, J = 7.0)	21.0(q)	0.90 (d, J = 6.8)	21.4(q)
Me(14)	1.06(d, J = 6.8)	13.3(q)	1.33(s)	27.0(q)	1.82(s)	19.9(q)
Me(15)	1.39 (s)	16.4(q)	1.32(s)	23.7(q)	1.20 (d, J = 7.6)	11.9(q)

Table 1. ¹*H*- and ¹³*C*-*NMR Data* (CDCl₃, 400 and 100 MHz, resp.) of Compounds $1-3^1$). δ in ppm, *J* in Hz.

(H–C(2), H–C(3), and H–C(4); H–C(6), H–C(7), H–C(8), and H–C(9)) were disclosed from the COSY plot. The signals of H–C(3), H–C(6), H–C(7), and Me(15) correlated with that of the C=O group (δ 212.9) (HMBC), establishing that the C=O group was positioned at C(5). The signal at δ 1.20 (Me(15)) had HMBC correlations with δ 73.2 (C(3)) and 44.0 (C(4)), whereas the signal of H–C(2) (δ 4.64) had HMBC correlations with δ 128.3 (C(1)), 73.2 (C(3)), 44.0 (C(4)), and 137.0 (C(10)). In accord with the chemical shift of H–C(2), the COSY data (H–C(2)/H–C(3)), and the above HMBC evidence, the two OH groups were positioned at C(2) and C(3). The NOESY correlations (*Fig.*) Me(15)/H_β–C(3) and H_β–C(6), and Me(14)/H–C(2) confirmed that H–C(6) and Me(15) adopted a β -quasiaxial orientation, and that OH–C(3) and OH–C(2) were also in quasiaxial orientation.

Fifteen ¹³C-NMR signals (*Table 2*) and the HR-EI-MS confirmed the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{20}O_2$ of **4**. Analysis of its IR spectrum suggested that **4** contained OH (3360 cm⁻¹), olefinic (1654 cm⁻¹), and aromatic (1625 and 1500 cm⁻¹) moieties. The UV absorption band at λ_{max} 224.0 (4.29) nm indicated the presence of the conjugated C=C bond and a benzene moiety in **4**. The six IHD (from the DEPT experiment), the ¹³C-NMR data, and the molecular formula indicated that **4** is a sesquiterpene. Further spectral data (*Table 2* and *Fig.*) established the structure of **4** as (2 β ,3 α)- α -corocalene-2,3-diol¹).

The ¹H-NMR signals of **2** (*Table 2*) at δ 2.08 (*s*, 3 H), 2.40 (*s*, 3 H), and 1.19 and 1.23 (*d*, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H each), and 3.26 (H–C(11), COSY cross-peaks with δ 1.19 and 1.23) suggested that **4** has an ⁱPr group

	4		5		6	
	$\frac{1}{\delta(H)}$	$\delta(C)$	$\frac{\delta}{\delta(H)}$	$\delta(C)$	$\frac{\delta}{\delta(H)}$	$\delta(C)$
C(1)	_	130.7 (s)	_	135.6 (s)	_	138.4 (s)
H-C(2)	4.89 (d, J = 2.0)	69.2(d)	7.22 (d, J = 7.6)	122.6(d)	7.07(s)	112.3(d)
H-C(3) or	4.05(d, J = 2.0)	71.9 (d)	7.01 $(d, J = 7.6)$	127.0(d)	-	153.2 (s)
C(3)						
C(4)	-	135.9 (s)	-	136.3 (s)	-	123.9 (s)
H-C(5)	6.66(s)	120.4(d)	6.93 (s)	129.8(d)	6.74 (s)	131.8 (d)
C(6)	-	128.6(s)	-	139.3 (s)	-	125.5 (s)
C(7) or	-	142.0(s)	2.32 - 2.36(m)	44.1(d)	3.06 (dd,	44.8(d)
H-C(7)					J = 5.4, 1.5)	
H-C(8) or	7.14 (d, J = 8.0)	125.6(d)	2.38 - 2.42 (m),	25.3 (t)	3.58 (dd,	55.7 (d)
$CH_{2}(8)$			2.38-2.42 (<i>m</i>)		J = 4.2, 1.5)	
H-C(9)	$7.04 \ (d, J = 8.0)$	129.8 (d)	5.92 (br. s)	124.7(d)	3.35 (d, J = 4.2)	59.6 (d)
C(10)	-	135.4 (s)	-	130.0(s)	-	70.5 (s)
H - C(11)	3.26 (sept., J = 6.8)	28.2(d)	1.84 - 1.90 (m)	30.3(d)	1.89–1.94 (<i>m</i>)	33.3 (d)
Me(12)	1.19 (d, J = 6.8)	23.5(q)	0.88 (d, J = 6.8)	20.3(q)	1.00 (d, J = 7.0)	21.5(q)
Me(13)	1.23 (d, J = 6.8)	23.6(q)	0.80 (d, J = 6.8)	21.4(q)	0.90 (d, J = 7.0)	19.9(q)
Me(14) or	2.40(s)	18.3(q)	4.42 (d, J = 12.4),	64.0 (<i>t</i>)	1.53(s)	27.7(q)
$CH_{2}(14)$			4.52 (d, J = 12.4)			
Me(15)	2.08 (s)	22.2(q)	2.31 (s)	21.3 (q)	2.15 (s)	15.6 (q)

Table 2. ¹*H*- and ¹³*C*-*NMR Data* (CDCl₃, 400 and 100 MHz, resp.) of Compounds $4-6^{1}$). δ in ppm, *J* in Hz

and two Me groups attached to an olefinic quatenary C-atom. In addition to three CH and two OCH groups (δ 71.9 and 69.2), the structure of **4** was suggested to be a α -corocalene-type sesquiterpene. Comparison of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of **4** with the known α -corocalene (=1,2-dihydro-3,8-dimethyl-5-(1-methylethyl)naphthalene; **8**) [18] suggested that **4** was a derivative of α -corocalene with the two OH groups located at C(2) and C(3). The 1,2-diol moiety was deduced from the ¹H,¹³C-HMBC (Me(15)/C(4) and C(3), H-C(2)/C(3) and C(4)), COSY (H-C(3)/H-C(2)), and NOESY data (H-C(3)/H-C(2)). The NOESY correlations (*Fig.*) H–C(2)/Me(14) and H–C(3)/Me(15) established the quasiequatorial orientation of the two OCH protons, which was confirmed by the coupling constant *J*(2,3) = 2.0 Hz.

Compound **5** had the molecular ion peak at m/z 216.1519 (HR-EI-MS), as analyzed for C₁₅H₂₀O⁺. The IR spectrum of **5** exhibited the presence of an OH group at 3411 cm⁻¹ and an aromatic moiety at 1610 and 1500 cm⁻¹. The UV absorptions (λ_{max} 229.0 and 256.0 nm) confirmed the conjugated C=C bond and an aromatic system. Six IHD were determined from the molecular formula, ¹³C-NMR spectrum (*Table 2*), and DEPT. Further spectral data (*Table 2* and *Fig.*) and comparison with reference compounds [19][20] established the structure of **5** as (7*S*)-calacoren-14-ol¹).

The ¹H-NMR data of **5** indicated the presence of aromatic protons giving rise to *ABX*-type resonances (δ 7.22 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.93 (s, 1 H)), an ¹Pr group (δ 0.80 and 0.88 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H each) and 1.84–1.90 (m, 1 H)), and a trisubstituted olefinic proton (δ 5.92 (br. s)). The ¹³C-NMR and DEPT showed a trisubstituted benzene ring (δ 122.6, 127.0, 136.3, 129.8, 139.3, and 135.6), a trisubstituted olefinic group, and a secondary alcohol (δ 64.0). From the six IHD was deduced that compound **5** contained one trisubstituted benzene ring, one trisubstituted olefinic group, and one ring, which was assumed to be an α -calacorene (=(1*S*)-1,2-dihydro-4,7-dimethyl-1-(1-methylethyl)naph-

thalene) sesquiterpene [19], however, with the C(14) being a CH₂OH instead of a Me group. The following HMBC correlations were observed: H-C(5)/C(3), C(7), and C(15), and CH₂(14)/C(9), C(10), and C(1). Comparison with reference compounds [19][20] allowed to determine the absolute configuration (S) from the positive specific rotation of 5.

Based on the HR-EI-MS and ¹³C-NMR data (*Table 2*), compound **6** has the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{20}O_3$ with an IHD of 6. The IR spectrum showed absorptions for an OH group (3423 cm⁻¹) and an aromatic moiety (1624 and 1507 cm⁻¹). Further spectral data (*Table 2*, *Fig.*) and comparison with those of (8β , 9β , 10β)-8,9-epoxycalamenen-10-ol (**7**) [17] allowed to assign to **6** the structure of (8β , 9β , 10β)-8,9-epoxycalamene-3,10-diol¹).

The ¹H-NMR data (*Table 2*) indicated the presence of an ⁱPr group (δ 0.90 and 1.00 (*d*, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H each) and 1.89–1.94 (m, 1 H)) and two Me groups (δ 2.15 (s) and 1.53 (s)). Six aromatic C-atom signals (δ 112.3–153.2) along with the chemical shift of the ⁱPr and a Me group (δ 2.15) suggested that the compound was a derivative of calamenene (=(15,45)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,6-dimethyl-4-(1-methylethyl)naphthalene). Two s of aromatic protons (δ 7.07 and 6.74) in addition to a phenol signal (δ 5.89, exchangeable) and that of an oxygenated C-atom (δ 153.2) were in accord with an OH group at C(3). The proton at δ 6.74 had a NOESY correlation with H–C(7) (δ 3.06) and Me(15), and could thus be assigned to H-C(5). The remaining signal at δ 7.07 must arise from H-C(2). The consecutive protons $Me(12) (\delta 0.90), H-C(11) (\delta 1.89-1.94), H-C(7) (\delta 3.06 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz)), H-C(8) (\delta 3.58 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz))), H-C(8) (\delta 3.58 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz))), H-C(8) (\delta 3.58 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz))), H-C(8) (\delta 3.58 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz))), H-C(8) (\delta 3.58 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz))), H-C(8) (\delta 3.58 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz)))$ 4.2, 1.5 Hz)), and H–C(9) (δ 3.35 (d, J=4.2 Hz)) were revealed from COSY and NOESY data. The signal at δ 1.53 was assigned as Me(14) since it had cross-peaks with δ 138.4 (C(1)), 70.5 (C(10)), and 59.6 (C(9)). The quaternary C(10) was considered to be substituted by an OH group. Based on the above analysis, 5 of the 6 IHD were consumed by one aromatic ring and one cyclohexane ring, and the remaining IHD was considered to be an epoxy bridge. The chemical shifts of H–C(8) (δ (H) 3.58, δ (C) 55.7) and H–C(9) (δ (H) 3.35 and δ (C) 59.6) were consistent with an epoxy moiety. Comparison of the physical data of 6 with those of 7 [17] revealed that the only difference was an additional OH group at C(3) in 6. Although H-C(2) is ortho-positioned with respect to the OH group, it showed a lower chemical shift than H-C(5). The reason is that H-C(2) is deshielded by OH-C(10), suggesting that the OH group adopts a β -quasiequatorial position. The relative configuration of **6** was mainly established by a NOESY plot.

The authors thank the National Science Council of Republic of China for financial support.

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography: silica gel (*Merck*; 70–230 mesh, 230–400 mesh, ASTM). Semiprep. normal-phase HPLC: *LDC-Analytical-III* instrument; 250 × 10 mm column, *LiChrosorb Si 60* (7 µm). M.p.: *Yanagimoto* micro-melting-point apparatus; uncorrected. Specific rotation: *Jasco DIP-180* digital polarimeter. UV Spectra: λ_{max} (log ε) in nm. IR Spectra: *Perkin-Elmer 983-G*; in cm⁻¹. spectrophotometer. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra: *Varian Unity-400* or *Bruker DMX-400* spectrophotometer; δ in ppm, *J* in Hz. EI-MS: *Jeol JMS-HX-300* mass spectrometer; in *m/z* (rel. %).

Plant Material. The roots of *T. cryptomerioides* were collected from Taichung, Taiwan, in August 1996. The plant was identified by Dr. *Shang-Tzen Chang*, Professor of the Department of Forestry, National Taiwan University. A voucher specimen (No. 013542) has been deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Botany of the National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried root slices of *T. cryptomerioides* (15 kg) were extracted two times with acetone (125 l) at r.t. (twice 7 days). The acetone extract was concentrated, the black residue suspended in H₂O (71) and then extracted with AcOEt (3×11), and the AcOEt fraction (365 g) subjected to CC (silica gel, hexane/AcOEt of increasing polarity), and each product fraction further

purified by HPLC. Compounds **5** (8.0 mg) and **7** (4.8 mg) were eluted with 30% AcOEt/hexane (HPLC purifications with 10% AcOEt/ CH_2Cl_2 and 20% acetone/hexane). Compound **1** (6.8 mg) was eluted with 40% AcOEt/hexane (HPLC purification with 15% AcOEt/ CH_2Cl_2 and 30% acetone/hexane). Compounds **2** (56 mg), **3** (7.8 mg), **4** (6.8 mg), and **6** (6.5 mg) were eluted with 70% AcOEt/hexane (HPLC purifications with 50% AcOEt/ CH_2Cl_2 and 40% acetone/hexane).

 $(1\beta,4\beta,5\alpha,10\alpha)$ -1,4-Epoxymuurolan-5-ol (=(1S,2S,4aS,5R,8S,8aR)-Octahydro-2,5-dimethyl-8-(1-methylethyl)-2H-2,4a-epoxynaphthalen-1-ol; 1): Colorless gum. $[a]_{D}^{25} = -9.0$ (c = 0.14, CHCl₃). IR (KBr): 3434, 2934, 2878, 1462, 1379, 1058. ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR: *Table 1*. EI-MS: 238 (12, M^+), 220 (18), 195 (50), 177 (43), 151 (100). HR-EI-MS: 238.1934 ($C_{15}H_{26}O_{7}^{+}$; calc. 238.1934).

 $(4\alpha,10\beta)$ -4,10-Dihydroxycadin-1(6)-en-5-one (=(2R,5S,8S)-3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2,5-dihydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-8-(1-methylethyl)naphthalen-1(2H)-one; **2**): Light brown oil. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +41.3$ (c = 0.32, CHCl₃). UV (MeOH): 242.0 (4.38), 291.0 (3.46). IR (KBr): 3423, 2964, 1670, 1459, 1370, 1170, 1136. ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR: *Table 1*. EI-MS: 252 (1, *M*⁺), 234 (100, $[M - H_2O]^+$), 191 (75), 151 (26), 133 (36), 105 (41). HR-EI-MS: 252.1726 (C₁₅H₂₄O₃⁺; calc. 252.1720).

 $(2\beta_3a,4\beta_6\beta_)-2,3$ -Dihydroxycadin-1(10)-en-5-one (=(2S,3S,4S,8S,8aS)-3,4,6,7,8,8a-Hexahydro-3,4dihydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-8-(1-methylethyl)naphthalen-1(2H)-one; **3**): White solid. M.p.: 134–136°. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +18.5$ (c = 0.80, CHCl₃). IR (KBr): 3418, 2928, 1705, 1464, 1370, 1123, 1002. ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR: *Table 1.* EI-MS: 252 (64, *M*⁺), 191 (76), 183 (100), 123 (56). HR-EI-MS: 252.1725 (C₁₅H₂₄O₃⁺; calc. 252.1720).

 $\begin{array}{l} (2\beta,3a) \text{-}a\text{-}Corocalene-2,3\text{-}diol \ (=(1\text{S},2\text{S})\text{-}1,2\text{-}Dihydro-3,8\text{-}dimethyl\text{-}5\text{-}(1\text{-}methylethyl)naphthalene-1,2\text{-}diol;\ \textbf{4}):\ \text{Yellow gum.}\ [a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=-63.1\ (c=0.45,\ \mathrm{CHCl}_3).\ \mathrm{UV}\ (\mathrm{MeOH}):\ 224.0\ (4.29),\ 262.0\ (3.88),\ 282.0\ (3.79),\ 333.0\ (3.24).\ \mathrm{IR}\ (\mathrm{KBr}):\ 3360,\ 2964,\ 1654,\ 1449,\ 1385,\ 1249,\ 1015.\ ^{13}\mathrm{C}\text{-}\ \mathrm{and}\ ^{1}\mathrm{H}\text{-}\mathrm{NMR}:\ Table 2.\\ \mathrm{EI-MS}:\ 214\ (44,\ [M-\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}]^+),\ 199\ (81),\ 191\ (84),\ 91\ (100),\ 57\ (71).\ \mathrm{HR}\text{-}\mathrm{EI-MS}:\ 214.1359\ ([M-\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}]^+,\ \mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{calc}.\ 214.1359\ ([M-\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}]^+,\ \mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}^+;\ \mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{1$

(7S)-α-*Calacoren-14-ol* (=(4S)-3,4-*Dihydro-6-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)naphthalene-1-methanol*; **5**): Yellow gum. $[\alpha]_D^{27} = +35.7$ (c = 0.17, CHCl₃). UV (MeOH): 229.0 (3.59), 256.0 (3.27). IR (KBr): 3411, 2965, 2932, 2877, 1684, 1610, 1500, 1460, 1386, 1254, 1210, 1093. ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR: *Table 2*. EI-MS: 216 (12, *M*⁺), 202 (47), 197 (12), 160 (60), 159 (100), 145 (32), 131 (34). HR-EI-MS: 216.1519 (C₁₅H₂₀O⁺; calc. 216.1510).

 $(8\beta,9\beta,10\beta)$ -8,9-*Epoxycalamenene*-3,10-*diol* (=(1aS,2R,7S,7aS)-1a,2,7,7a-Tetrahydro-2,5-*dimethyl*-7-(1-*methylethyl*)*naphth*[2,3-b]*oxirene*-2,4-*diol*; **6**): Amorphous solid. [α]_D²³ = +108.4 (c =0.66, CHCl₃). UV (MeOH): 219.0 (4.06), 285.0 (3.63). IR (KBr): 3423, 2930, 1624, 1507, 1458, 1377, 1245. ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR: *Table* 2. EI-MS: 248 (30, *M*⁺), 230 (28), 205 (53), 187 (100), 159 (50). HR-EI-MS: 248.1414 (C₁₅H₂₀O₃⁺; calc. 248.1407).

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. S. Cheng, Y. H. Kuo, Y. T. Lin, Chemistry 1968, 47.
- [2] Y. S. Cheng, Y. H. Kuo, Y. T. Lin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1967, 555.
- [3] Y. T. Lin, Y. S. Cheng, Y. H. Kuo, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 3381.
- [4] Y. H. Kuo, Y. S. Cheng, Y. T. Lin, Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 2375.
- [5] Y. H. Kuo, C. I. Chang, C. K. Lee, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 597.
- [6] Y. H. Kuo, C. I. Chang, J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 650.
- [7] Y. H. Kuo, S. C. Chien, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2001, 49, 1033.
- [8] Y. H. Kuo, S. C. Chien, S. L. Haung, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 544.
- [9] Y. H. Kuo, S. C. Chien, C. C. Kuo, Planta Med. 2002, 68, 1020.
- [10] K. He, L. Zeng, G. Shi, G. X. Zaho, J. F. Kozlowsk, J. L. McLanglin, J. Nat. Prod. 1997, 60, 38.
- [11] S. T. Chang, S. Y. Wang, C. L. Wu, P. F. Chen, Y. H. Kuo, Holzforschung 2002, 54, 241.
- [12] S. T. Chang, S. Y. Wang, C. L. Wu, P. F. Chen, Y. H. Kuo, C. J. Chang, Phytochemistry 2000, 55, 227.
- [13] Y. H. Kuo, C. F. Chyu, H. C. Lin, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 986.
- [14] Y. H. Kuo, C. F. Chyu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7221.
- [15] C. F. Chyu, Y. M. Chiang, H. C. Lin, Y. H. Kuo, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 641.

- [16] C. F. Chyu, H. C. Lin, Y. H. Kuo, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2005, 53, 11.
- [17] P. Weyerstahl, H. Marschall, M. Weirauch, K. Thefeld, H. Surburg, Flavour Fragr. J. 1998, 13, 295.
- [18] K. S. Ngo, K. K. Cheung, G. D. Brown, J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1998, 80.
- [19] N. Bülow, W. A. König, *Phytochemistry* **2000**, *55*, 141.
- [20] F. Bohlmann, C. Zdero, H. Robinson, R. M. King, Phytochemistry 1979, 18, 1675.

Received April 19, 2007